

Prioritize “functions”; many “functions”, inadequate funding

Landowners: Who are the responsible parties?

Better communication

Trust issues

DFG set priorities re: land management

Multiple departments and/or agencies; no single set of state priorities

Partners:

Clear priorities

Communicating them well with the partners who are well positioned to accomplish DFG’s priorities

Partner with resource users as well as NGOs

Regulatory streamlining

Conservation project obstacles

Lack of staff

Lengthy permit process

Sometimes costly permit process

Review of existing and potential fees to determine appropriateness; not just resource users but the general public

Possible third party review

Ecosystem services affect a broad public sector

No one state agency has authority to manage state’s nature resources

Coordination essential

50% direct federal; private lands;

Partnerships are important

Difficult for DFG and Commission to single-handedly manage

Identify other planning efforts – state, federal and others

Encourage to look at “The Future of Natural Resource Management” – integrated resource management

Funding, communications, outreach

Concern with unfunded mandate; need revenue stream

Easements versus purchase of lands

Science based versus political bases

Inland watershed ecosystems (Sierras) lead to marine ecosystem; interconnectedness

Look at administrative remedies; save legislation for “have to” items

How to ensure that the DFG and Commission are proactive versus reactive

Species management versus habitat management

Scientific collection process – revenue neutral, more effective

Accessibility of science information

Efficiency potential that data and scientific information is available – spatial management tool

Easy access by general public

Build on existing tools – marine life maps

Working landscape concept

DOD lands are places for recovery of certain species

Avoidance of future planning

Sufficient funds to manage lands

Clearer guidelines on public-private partnerships

Transparency

Accountability

Legislative oversight of the funding (perceptions)